SINGLE BENCH

C.S.101

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

W.P.(C).No. 142 Of 2006 2007

(WRIT PETITION U/A 227 OF THE CONSTITUTITON OF INDIA)

BETWEEN

1. Ramchandra s/O Umashankar, aged about 39 years,
2. Shanti Bai w/o Ramchandra, aged about 35 years,
3. Narayan s/o Umashankar, aged about 48 years,

All the residence of Village: Suinara, Tehsil & District: Mahasamund , Chhattisgarh.

PETITIONERS

Plaintiffs

RECEIVED-COPY 5/12/06 CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

P.R. No. 7/12/06 Presented by Shri M. Kashyap dated 6-12-06

AND

1. Vishnu s/o Nankun , aged about 71 years, residence of Village: Suinara, Tehsil & District: Mahasamund (C.G.)

2. Brijlal s/o Nankun, aged about 66 years, r/o Village : Nawagaon khurd, Tehsil & District : Mahasamund (C.G.)

3. Brijlalu s/o Nankun Kunwar, aged about 61 years, residence of Village: Suinara, Tehsil & District: Mahasamund (C.G.)

4. Harisingh s/o Brijlal, aged about 28 years, residence of Village: Suinara, Tehsil & District: Mahasamund (C.G.)

(5) (2)

5. Dulsingh s/o Nankun, aged about 57 years, residence of Village: Nawagaon khurd, Tehsil & District: Mahasamund (C.G.)

6. Kishendev s/o Dukalu, aged about 26 years, residence of Village: Nawagaon Khurd, Tehsil & District: Mahasamund (C.G.)

7. Puranik s/o Ghrau Rawat, aged about 51 years, residence of Village: Nawagaon Khurd, Tehsil & District: Mahasamund (C.G.)

8. State of Chhattisgarh,

Through: Collector Mahasamund

District: Mahasamund (C.G.)

9. Additional Tehsil, Pithora,

District: Mahasamund (C.G.)

RESPONDENTS

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P(C) No. 142 /2007 **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

6-2-2007

Shri Rupesh Shrivastava, Adv. for the petitioners.

Heard.

This petition is directed against the order of Annexure P-8 passed by Second Additional District Judge, Mahasamund in Misc. Civil Appeal No. 2-A/2005 whereby the appeal preferred by the petitioners against rejection of his application for temporary injunction has been rejected.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are recorded owners of the disputed land, however, the revenue map pertaining to the suit land is being corrected at the instance of the defendants by the respondents No. 9 and 10. Prayer of the petitioners for temporary injunction restraining the respondents from correcting the revenue map has been rejected by both the Courts below. Therefore this petition has been filed.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners. I have perused the order passed by the learned Civil Judge Class – I in the application for temporary injunction, which has been subsequently affirmed by the impugned order vide Annexure P-8. After perusal of the impugned order and other documents filed by the petitioners along with this petition, this Court is of the considered opinion that there is no illegality in the impugned order occasioning grave injustice or failure of justice to the parties, which calls for interference by this Court in the exercise of jurisdiction vested under

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	Article 227 of the Constitution of India. The petition is liable to be dismissed at admission stage itself. The petition is dismissed at admission stage. **Sd/-** **Dhirendra Mishra** **Judge**	

P.T.O.